

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/044,288	10/24/2001		W. Jerry Easterling	ERECTILE-NEW (US)	6875	
75	590	12/28/2004		EXAMINER		
DAVID G. HI			HUI, SAN MING R			
700 Texas Center P.O. Box 1470			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Waco, TX 76	703-1470		1617			

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/044,288	EASTERLING, W. JERRY				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	San-ming Hui	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Section 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro					
	x purio quayro, 1000 0.D. 11, 40	0.0.210.				
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 16 and 17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 16 and 17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	PTO-413) e tent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/044,288

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 13, 2004 has been entered.

The cancellation of claims 1-15 and 18-29 is acknowledged.

Claims 16-17 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levine et al. (Journal of Urology, 1994;151:1522-1524), reference of record in the IDS in view of Chien et al. (US Patent 4,690,683), references of record.

Levine teaches localized delivery of verapamil to the lesion as useful in treating Peyronie's Disease, which is characterized by fibrous plaque involving the tunica albugines of the corpora cavernosa (see the abstract and page 1522, first paragraph).

Application/Control Number: 10/044,288

Art Unit: 1617

Levine does not expressly teach verapamil is delivered by transdermal route of administration.

Chien et al. teaches a method of transdermal delivery of verapamil (See the abstract and claim 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ verapamil transdermally to treat fibrous plaque in the penis.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ verapamil transdermally to treat fibrous plaque in the penis. It is known to employ verapamil in a localized delivery to treat Peyronie's Disease. Employing the transdermal system of Chien to deliver verapamil locally to the fibrous plaque in the penis would be reasonably expected to be effective in treating Peyronie's Disease since the selection of one or another route of administration would be seen as a simple selection from among obvious alternatives.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 13, 2004 averring long-felt need being met by providing the declaration of Dr. Fitch filed September 13, 2004, with the instant invention have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It states that the claimed subject matter solved a problem that was long standing in the art. However, there is no showing that others of ordinary skill in the art were working on the problem and if so, for how long. In addition, there is no evidence that if persons skilled in the art

who were presumably working on the problem knew of the teachings of the above cited references, they would still be unable to solve the problem. See MPEP § 716.04.

Applicant's arguments filed September 13, 2004 averring commercial success by providing the declaration of Dr. Fitch filed September 13, 2004, have been considered, but are not found persuasive. There is no evidence as to the nexus between the claimed invention and the commercial success presented. It is not even clear from the Dr. Fitch declaration that commercial success does exist. See MPEP 716.03.

Applicant's arguments filed September 13, 2004 averring skepticism from Dr. Fitch have been considered, but are not found persuasive. It is not clear whether Dr. Fitch have known the cited prior art and still skeptic about the product.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to San-ming Hui whose telephone number is (571) 272-0626. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon 9:00 to 1:00, Tu - Fri from 9:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, PhD., can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/044,288

Art Unit: 1617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1617